OGC Has Reviewed Approved For Release 2001		16 March 195	6
NOTICE OF PENDING LEGISLATION		LEGISLATIVE BILL NO.	
NOTICE OF TENDING ELGIGERY		H. R. 9429 w/ H. Rept	
ECTION 1	GENERAL	1. R. /12/ W/	(805
		CLATIVE COUNCEL	
0 : OGC Dir/Personnel	į.	SLATIVE COUNSEL CE OF GENERAL COUNSEL	
Chief, MS	UFFI	CE OF GENERAL COUNSEL	
THE ATTACHED BILL, WHICH HAS BEEN INTROD	UCED INTO CONGRES	SS, IS:	
This bill supersedes H.			
SENT TO YOU FOR INFORMATION ONLY.			
A BILL ON WHICH FAVORABLE CONGRESS		T IS NOT PREDICT:	FD.
A BILL ON WHICH FAVORABLE CONGRESS	TONAL ACTION		
XXX SENT FOR YOUR COMMENT AS TO WHETHE	R IT IS OF INTERI	ST TO CIA ACTIVITIES. AND	WHETHER
FURTHER ACTION BY THIS OFFICE IS N	ECESSARY OR DESI	RED.	
IT IS REQUESTED THAT COMMENTS CONC.		TION BE EXPENDED THROUGH	
APPROPRIATE CHANNELS, TO THIS OFFICE	CE, BY	TION BE FORWARDED, THROUGH	
SECTION II COMMENTS (Fr	om Original Address	(66)	
0 : LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL	FROM:		
OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL	Offic	e of General Counsel	
l. This Bill should have insofar as it may result in t	he Agency payi	ng a few more claims t	und :r
NTL 2. It is of further int a "comparative argument" that	the Agency paying the Agency p	ng a few more claims to gency in that it proves n pressing for legisle	ides
NTL 2. It is of further int	the Agency paying the Agency p	ng a few more claims to gency in that it proves n pressing for legisle	ides
NTL 2. It is of further int a "comparative argument" that	the Agency paying the Agency p	ng a few more claims to gency in that it proves n pressing for legisle	ides
NTL 2. It is of further int a "comparative argument" that	the Agency paying the Agency p	ng a few more claims to gency in that it proves n pressing for legisle	ides
NTL 2. It is of further int a "comparative argument" that	the Agency paying the Agency p	ng a few more claims to gency in that it proves n pressing for legisle	ides
NTL 2. It is of further int a "comparative argument" that	the Agency paying the Agency p	ng a few more claims to gency in that it proves n pressing for legisle	ides
NTL 2. It is of further int a "comparative argument" that	the Agency paying the Agency p	ng a few more claims to gency in that it proves n pressing for legisle	ides
NTL 2. It is of further int a "comparative argument" that	the Agency paying the Agency p	ng a few more claims to gency in that it proves n pressing for legisle	ides
NTL 2. It is of further int a "comparative argument" that	the Agency paying the Agency p	ng a few more claims to gency in that it proves n pressing for legisle	ides
NTL 2. It is of further int a "comparative argument" that	the Agency paying the Agency p	ng a few more claims to gency in that it proves n pressing for legisle	ides
NTL 2. It is of further int a "comparative argument" that	the Agency paying the Agency p	ng a few more claims to gency in that it proves n pressing for legisle	ides
NTL 2. It is of further int a "comparative argument" that	the Agency paying the Agency p	ng a few more claims to gency in that it proves n pressing for legisle	ides
NTL 2. It is of further int a "comparative argument" that	the Agency paying the Agency p	ng a few more claims to gency in that it proves n pressing for legisle	ides
NTL 2. It is of further int a "comparative argument" that	the Agency paying the Agency p	ng a few more claims to gency in that it proves n pressing for legisle	ides
NTL 2. It is of further int a "comparative argument" that	the Agency paying the Agency p	ng a few more claims to gency in that it proves n pressing for legisle	ides ation
NTL 2. It is of further int a "comparative argument" that	the Agency paying the Agency p	ng a few more claims to gency in that it proves n pressing for legisle	ides ation
NTL 2. It is of further int a "comparative argument" that	the Agency paying the Agency p	ng a few more claims to gency in that it proves n pressing for legisle	ides ation
NTL 2. It is of further int a "comparative argument" that	the Agency paying the Agency p	ng a few more claims to gency in that it proves n pressing for legisle	ides ation
NTL 2. It is of further int a "comparative argument" that	the Agency paying the Agency p	ng a few more claims to gency in that it proves n pressing for legisle	ides ation